

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

June 20, 2012

## **MEMORANDUM**

**SUBJECT:** FY 2012 Consolidated Second and Third Quarter Financial Statements Agreed-

**Upon Procedures** 

FROM: Paul C. Curtis Jan C. Curt

Director, Financial Audits

**TO:** Stefan Silzer

Director, Office of Financial Management

The purpose of this memorandum is to present Agreed-Upon Procedures to be performed on the FY 2012 Consolidated Quarterly Financial Statements. The Office of Inspector General plans to perform the agreed-upon procedures, described in Attachments 1 and 2 to:

- 1. Assist you in evaluating whether the quarterly financial statements were compiled consistent with Treasury's USSGL crosswalk, and
- 2. Identify significant fluctuations in financial line balances from the previous year.

The adequacy of these procedures is your responsibility. Consequently, we will make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures. The agreed upon procedures do not constitute an audit of the quarterly financial statements or any part thereof, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the quarterly financial statements or any part thereof. Accordingly, we will not express such an opinion. Were we to perform additional procedures, other matters might come to our attention that we would report to you.

Our report will be intended solely for your information and use and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures or taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

The Agreed-Upon Procedures included in Attachments 1 and 2 will be conducted using applicable generally accepted government auditing standards, which incorporate attestation standards issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. These standards provide guidance for performing and reporting the results of agreed-upon procedures. In situations where the information does not change from one quarter to the next, we will not duplicate the procedures.

During this project the EPA OIG plans to produce a report with our findings for the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  quarters by the following estimated dates.

	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr	3 <sup>rd</sup> Qtr
Field Work Start	June 27, 2012	August 3, 2012
Draft Report	July 27, 2012	September 4, 2012
Final Report	August 17, 2012	September 27, 2012

The Project Manager for this assignment is Demetrios Papakonstantinou. Please do not hesitate to contact me at (202) 566-2523 (<u>curtis.paul@epa.gov</u>), or Demetrios at (617) 918-1451 (<u>papakonstantinou.demetrios@epa.gov</u>) if you or your staff have any questions.

The dates listed above will change according to when we can start. If possible, we will provide the draft/final reports sooner. If you agree with the procedures as presented, please provide your concurrence in writing.

cc: Barbara Bennett

Maryann Froehlich

Joshua Bayslon

Christopher Osborne

Melvin Visnick

Sherri Anthony

Raffael Stein

Art Elkins

Melissa Heist

Eileen McMahon

Charles Sheehan

Margaret Hiatt

Robert Smith

Demetrios Papakonstantinou

## Agreed-Upon Procedures for EPA's Second Quarter Financial Statements

#### We will:

- 1) Obtain EPA's Second Quarter Financial Statements, Adjusted Trial Balance and support for the statements (including Earmarked Funds). Import the Adjusted Trial Balance into ProSystem and generate an adjusted trial balance, Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated Statement of Net Cost, and Consolidating Statement of Changes in Net Position. Using these statements, we will do the following and note any differenced identified:
  - a) Compare the ProSystem adjusted trial balance to the Agency's adjusted trial balance.
  - b) Compare the ProSystem generated Financial Statements to EPA's FY 2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Financial Statements.
  - c) Enter the 2012 balances into Excel spreadsheets. Prepare and compare the Combined Statement of Budgetary Resources and Statement of Custodial Activity to the Agency's FY 2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter statements.
  - d) Extract general ledger ending balances from the Adjusted Trial Balance for Earmarked Funds using IDEA and enter into ProSystem. Generate a Consolidating Statement of Changes in Net Position for Earmarked Funds and compare to EPA's FY 2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Consolidating Statement of Changes in Net Position for Earmarked Funds.
  - e) Compare FY 2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Statement of Net Cost by Goal to Statement of Net Cost.
  - f) Mathematically verify the FY 2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Consolidated All Other column on the Statement of Changes in Net Position in the Agency's financial statements.
  - g) Identify significant fluctuations in balances by comparing current year balances to prior year balances. (Criteria Percentage change of 10% or more <u>and</u> dollar change exceeding \$50 million).
- 2) Obtain and compare EPA's Financial Statement Preparation Guide for the FY 2012 Statements to OMB Circular A-136 for compliance and EPA's financial statement crosswalk to Treasury's United States Standard General Ledger crosswalk and note any differences.
- 3) Obtain and compare EPA's FY 2011 Year End Account Definitions table (IFMS YACT table) to Treasury's Closing Instructions.
- 4) Obtain and compare EPA's identified Earmark Treasury Symbols to Federal Accounting Advisory Board Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 27 for compliance.
- 5) Obtain and compare FY 2012 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter Child Trial Balances to the Child journal voucher.
- 6) Compare the FY 2012 Adjusted Trial Balance Second Quarter beginning balances to the FY 2012 First Quarter beginning balances.
- 7) Examine elimination and consolidation entries prepared by the Reporting and Analysis Staff for reasonableness and completeness and note any differences.

### Agreed-Upon Procedures for EPA's Third Quarter Financial Statements

#### We will:

- 1) Obtain EPA's Third Quarter Financial Statements, Adjusted Trial Balance and support for the statements (including Earmarked Funds). Import the Adjusted Trial Balance into ProSystem and generate an adjusted trial balance, Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated Statement of Net Cost, and Consolidating Statement of Changes in Net Position. Using these statements, we will do the following and note any differences identified:
  - a) Compare the ProSystem adjusted trial balance to the Agency's adjusted trial balance.
  - b) Compare the ProSystem generated Financial Statements to EPA's FY 2012 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Financial Statements.
  - c) Enter the 2012 balances into Excel spreadsheets. Prepare and compare the Combined Statement of Budgetary Resources and Statement of Custodial Activity to the Agency's FY 2012 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter statements.
  - d) Extract general ledger ending balances from the Adjusted Trial Balance for Earmarked Funds using IDEA and enter into Prosystem. Generate a Consolidating Statement of Changes in Net Position for Earmarked Funds and compare to EPA's FY 2012 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Consolidating Statement of Changes in Net Position for Earmarked Funds.
  - e) Compare FY 2012 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Statement of Net Cost by Goal to Statement of Net Cost.
  - f) Mathematically verify the FY 2012 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Consolidated All Other column on the Statement of Changes in Net Position in the Agency's financial statements.
  - g) Identify significant fluctuations in balances by comparing current year balances to prior year balances. (Criteria Percentage change of 10% or more <u>and</u> dollar change exceeding \$50 million).
- 2) Obtain and compare EPA's identified Earmark Treasury Symbols to Federal Accounting Advisory Board Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards 27 for compliance.
- 3) Obtain and compare FY 2012 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter Child Trial Balances to the Child journal voucher.
- 4) Compare the FY 2012 Adjusted Trial Balance Third Quarter beginning balances to the FY 2012 Adjusted Trial Balance Second Quarter beginning balances.
- 5) Examine elimination and consolidation entries prepared by the Reporting and Analysis Staff for reasonableness and completeness and note any differences.